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THE PROBLEM
Regulatory issue

The problem during the 2008-09 crisis from a regulator’s perspective

- Lack of comprehensive data on Over the Counter Derivatives (OTCD) transactions and positions
- Lack of high quality data that provided a clear view of risks
- Lack of standardized data to aggregate globally and understand systemic impacts
- Lack of timely availability of data to mitigate market abuse and risks
The data problem today

- Regulators have been collecting OTCD data for over 3 years
  - But data continues to have consistency and quality issues
  - Data reported using different standards on different legs of cross-border trades
- Regulators have made progress in removing barriers to share data, but further work needs to be done
- Global OTCD data need to be aggregated by regulators individually
  - Substantial policy and technical work need completion to reach the goal
  - Other regulatory proposals such as global aggregation of data
How Swaps differ from Futures

- More complex contract terms
- Significant portion of the market is *bespoke* – custom contracts
- Long lifespan - many events reported over lifetime (*Continuation Data*)
- Global reach of contracts – many Swaps are inter-jurisdictional (*cross-border*) in nature
- Ongoing and higher-degree of innovation in Swaps products
STATUS OF CURRENT WORK
G20 and regulatory commitment

- All OTCD contracts must be reported to Trade Repositories (TRs)
  - Provide a comprehensive view of the markets and market activity globally
  - Understand systemic risk by global aggregation of data reported to TRs
  - Harmonize data so that global aggregation is possible (e.g., UPI, UTI, LEI)

While this is a first and critical step to understand the functioning of the global OTCD markets, this is not enough.
Aggregation and Harmonization

- Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) is a success story
- Financial Stability Board (FSB) Aggregation Feasibility Study Group (AFSG)
- Committee on Payments and Market Infrastructures (CPMI) and International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO)
  - Currently developing guidance on Unique Transaction Identifier (UTI), Unique Product Identifier (UPI), and other data elements needed for global aggregation
- OTC Derivatives Regulators Forum (ODRF)
  Data Harmonization
Lessons learned from Futures and Options data

- CFTC has been receiving Futures and Options data since 1975

- “Rome wasn’t built in a day”
  - Data will not be perfect on day one, but evolves over time through iterations, vigilance and effort
  - Each format change (e.g., 80 byte records to FIXML) takes significant time and effort to iron out
  - Time deepens understanding – data validations have gotten more sophisticated over time

- Standards - “Without standards, there can be no improvement” – Taiichi Ohno
  - Best to use consensus, industry-wide / international standards that firms already use
  - Engage industry in standards setting from the beginning
  - Mayhem without standards – When propriety standards were set by exchanges for trade register data, CFTC spent significant effort harmonizing the data in-house
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Lessons learned from Futures and Options data (continued)

- “An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure”
  - The more time you spend on developing a data spec, the less time you spend cleaning up data

- “The only thing that is constant is change” - Heraclitus
  - Markets continually change, evolve, and innovate
  - Design today but keep flexibility for tomorrow

- “You get what you pay for”
  - Data quality takes resources
  - Cannot achieve data quality by sub-optimal investment
DEFINITION OF DATA QUALITY
What is *Data Quality*?

- Data Quality is the availability of the *right data*, at the *right time*, in the *right format*
- The most wonderful data not fit for purpose is useless
  - E.g., collecting the street address of the legal entity with *every transaction* will not help in calculating the net exposure of the counterparties
- Every piece of data must meet the requirements of relevance, standard, and timeliness
Components of Data Quality

- **Relevance** – only necessary data
  - Data not necessary for use cases identified for individual regulator’s functions or global aggregation - creates noise in the system and wastes resources to maintain needless data

- **Standard** – standardized data for all data reporting
  - Data not standardized (definition, form and manner) – hinders effective aggregation across trade repositories and globally

- **Timeliness** – neither too early nor too late
  - Data not available at the right time will not allow us to obtain insights into market fluctuations - hinders predictive capabilities necessary to mitigate risks in a timely manner
High quality data in a conformed and accessible manner is critical to achieving analytics maturity.

**Data**

- Siloed databases with siloed analytics and reporting features
- Many local data stores with unlinked and non-standardized data
- Formal data quality program established
- Data standards are in place; data is standard and harmonized
- Reference data collected/procured and loaded with linkages to data streams

**Analytics**

- Descriptive Analytics (What happened?)
- Predictive Analytics (What will happen?)
- Prescriptive Analytics (How should we respond?)

**Growth in maturity needs resource**

Making progress on data maturity is essential – analytics cannot reach maturity without it
Detriments to data quality

- Unclear rules
  - Rules created without clear use case definition, agreement, and documentation

- Too many standards
  - Standards that are not fit for purpose
  - Standards that are not mandated

- Disparity in technology between regulators and market participants
  - Insufficient technical platforms for smaller market participants

- Lack of financial data experts in the beginning of the process
  - Lopsided expertise balance between legal data standards
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT
Recommendations

- Tackle data quality methodically
- Begin with the end goal in mind
- Issue clear guidance on
  - Relevance - what data is needed
  - Timeliness - when it is needed
  - Standard - how it should be submitted
- Plan for data anomalies
  - Consider capabilities necessary to respond to anomalous data
- Develop SLAs for TRs and data sharing partners
- Strictly enforce compliance in data reporting
Tackle data quality methodically

Definition: Define data needs methodically

Acquisition: Develop the structure to obtain and house the data

Use: Develop the ability to use the data the right way for the right purpose
Data stages – *Define* (1 of 3)

- **Write Rules**
  - Develop policy requirements
  - New rules and updates
  - Incorporate data quality constraints

- **Define Needs**
  - Define use cases of data usage
  - Define precise types of data needed

- **Specify Standards**
  - Develop clear definitions of data
  - Develop standards for form and manner
  - Leverage consensus and Industry standards

Iterative
Data stages – Acquire (2 of 3)

**Architect Data**
- Develop proper data models
- Understand the interrelationships among data sets

**Ingest Data**
- Validate data as it is loaded into data stores
- Provide users the ability to verify initial data ingest

**Enhance Datasets**
- Acquire additional reference and value added data
- Link core data sets with value added data sets to provide enriched information
Data stages – *Use* (3 of 3)

**Choose Tools**
- Understand which tools can do what function
- Procure tools based on needs and not on the flashiness of the tool

**Use Data**
- Try different analytical methods to see which method provides the best answer
- Industrialize the most widely used answers

**Maintain Data**
- Assess to see what data is used and what is not
- Retire requirements for unused data
- Update specifications and rules on a regular basis
Begin with the end goal in mind

- Identify the right set of precise use cases
  - Loose definitions like “understanding global risk” are insufficient
  - Deeper dive on the use cases along with a common understanding of the problem the use case is solving
    - For example, include a basic understanding of how risk is calculated and the various dimensions of risk
  - Mapping rules across regimes as opposed to merely mapping data elements across regimes helps understand gaps in data across jurisdictions

- Use dictates data need
Provide clear guidance

- Provide a clear and unambiguous list of what data you need
  - Beyond providing mere rule text for data, provide clear guidance on what data you need and clear and consistent definitions
- Provide clear timeliness requirements for reporting data
- Identify clearly the standards to use for reporting data
  - Beyond form and manner, provide clear and consistent definitions of data - form and manner cannot compensate for fuzzy definitions
  - Merely asking for “Execution Timestamp” and specifying ISO 8601 as the standard will be unhelpful if the regulators don’t define what “Execution” means
Plan for data anomalies

- Identify response mechanisms and thresholds
  - *What* should be done when thresholds are reached?
  - *Who* will be alerted and what information do they need to make decisions?
  - *What* type of enforcement actions can be taken?
  - *What* other data are needed to enable this decision making?

- Sometimes the most stringent use cases satisfy 80% of basic requirements.
Develop SLAs

- Develop appropriate service level agreements (SLAs) for TRs and between parties sharing data
  - How quickly is each piece of data needed?
  - What feedback is necessary to provide this piece of data? How often should the feedback be given?
  - How can it be assured that each party meets their SLA (Operational Governance)?
Enforce compliance in reporting

- There is virtue in compliance
  - Essential to performing the regulatory mission
- Reporting accurately and on time is not a favor
  - Parties and market participants have a clear and affirmative legal obligation to report accurately and on-time
- Violations of such obligations need to be firmly dealt with
- Excusing poor behavior by reporting parties tends to encourage poor quality data reporting
Maintenance and agility

- Maintenance of data specifications
  - Regulatory data specifications have to change with market practice innovations
  - Maintenance is essential to continued data quality

- Agility in reaction
  - Critical to ensuring continued high quality
  - Keeping up with shifts in market practices, technologies and standards is not a part-time job
BACKUP SLIDES
Metrics

Data Feeds
(approximate # of million records per day CFTC is currently loading)

- Market Data: 0.2M / Day
- Margin & Collateral: 3M / Day
- Valuation / Stress Test: 0.1M / Day
- Fin Statement / Capitalization: 0.1M / Day
- Org / Product Data: 0.1M / Day
- FERC Data: 0.33M / Day
- Futures & Swaps Position Data: 16M / Day
- Futures Intraday Trades / Swaps Events: 10M / Day (Note: adding order messages would be orders of magnitude greater, adding 200 – 300M records per day. At scale, adds another 7 - 10 feet to the diameter)
- Time & Sales Futures Data: 240M / Day (top of the order book) (not to scale, at full scale this bubble would be 8 feet across)
- Enforcement: .2 – 1TB / Week (not to scale, scale = 10 feet)

Scale: 2.5M records / inch